

Center for Development Research University of Bonn



https://sc-fss2021.org/

Food Systems Thinking and the Role for Research and Innovation – the UN Food Systems Summit and Follow up

Joachim von Braun

Professor for Economic and Technological Change, Bonn University; Chair of the Scientific Group for the UN Food Systems Summit 2021

Research and Innovation for Sustainable Food Systems: Delivering on the Ambition of Food Vision 2030. Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine. Dublin, June 3, 2022

- 1. How has the UN FSS advanced food systems thinking?
- 2. What were main outcomes from science communities for UN FSS?
- 3. How does the public and private R&I system need to act now?
- 4. Assessment of UNFSS

The Food Systems Summit Made It Clear that Transformative Action in Food Systems is Fundamental to Achieving the SDGs...



"Across the SDGs, the world has established clear and ambitious goals for food systems...; we need to move boldly – now – to implement the transformative actions needed to achieve the goals we have." (Secretary-General's Chair Summary and Statement of Action on the UN Food Systems Summit)

3

... And Generated a Range of Deliverables that Serve As A Strong Basis for Follow-up Action



Systems Perspective now broadly accepted



Joachim von Braun, Kaosar Afsana, Louise Fresco, Mohamed Hassan and Maximo Torero (2021) Food system concepts and definitions for science and political action. Nature Food. Sept 2021. <u>https://rdcu.be/cxPxJ</u>

Five Action Areas in SG's Statement of Action at UNFSS

Action must be driven at country-level by governments in their local contexts.

Five action areas to help inform the transitions needed to realize the vision of the 2030 Agenda have emerged from the Summit process. These include:

(1) Nourish All People;

(2) Boost Nature-based Solutions;

(3) Advance Equitable Livelihoods, Decent Work and Empowered Communities;

(4) Build Resilience to Vulnerabilities, Shocks and Stresses; and

(5) Accelerating the Means of Implementation.

- 1. How has the UN FSS advanced food systems thinking?
- 2. What were main outcomes from science communities for UN FSS?
- 3. How does the public and private R&I system need to act now?
- 4. Assessment of UNFSS

Overview of Areas of Work on the UN Food Systems Summit



The 7 Science and Innovation Proposals by Sc. Group for UNFSS

- 1. A bundle of context specific policy and institutional **innovations to end hunger and increase availability and affordability of healthy diets** and nutritious foods
- 2. De-risk food systems and strengthen resilience, in particular for climate-neutral, climate-positive, and climate-resilient food systems
- 3. Innovations for efficient and fair land, credit, and labor arrangements
- 4. Bioscience innovations for peoples' health, systems' productivity, and ecological wellbeing
- 5. Technology-based and policy innovations for productive **soils, land and water, and to protect the agricultural genetic base and biodiversity**
- 6. Innovations for sustainable **fisheries, aquaculture**, and protection of coastal areas and oceans
- 7. Digital innovations for efficiency and inclusiveness of food systems and rural communities

J von Braun, K Afsana, L Fresco and M Hassan. 2021. Food systems: seven priorities to end hunger and protect the planet. *Nature* **597**, 28-30 (2021) <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-02331-x</u>

Understanding TRUE COSTs OF FOOD

Market prices do not take into account...

- benefits of affordable or healthy food
- costs of unhealthy or unsustainable food

Business' profits not reflect value created/reduced for society

GDP of food system does not reflect contribution to welfare

> Sustainable & healthy food is too expensive> Unsustainable& unhealthy food is too cheap



28 trillion in market 28 trillion True Costs per annum

S. Hendriks et.al. 2021. The True Cost and True Price of Food. A Brief for the Scientific Group UN FSS

Estimation of the Incremental Costs of Hunger Reduction



Source: B. Chichaibelu, M. Bekchanov, J. von Braun, M. Torero. In Food Policy (Oct, 2021). https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306919221001299?via%3Dihub

Understanding Synergies and Trade-Offs from Modelling

		TRANSFORMATIONS	Target 2.1 Target 2.2		Target 2.3 Target 2.4 and envt. SDGs		Quantitative
		TRANSFORMATIONS	Food availability (quantities)	Food access (prices)	Smallholder income	Environmental outcomes	studies
	Demand side	Reducing waste and overconsumption					1, 4, 5, 6, 7
		Adopting healthy diets					4, 5
		Adopting sustainable diets					1, 2, 3, 6, 7
	Trade	Improving trade integration					1, 5, 6
	Supply side	Increasing agricultural productivity					1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
		Reducing food losses					1, 4, 5, 6, 7
		Improving agricultural practices and resource management					1, 3, 4, 7
		Protecting and reallocating resource to other SDGs					1, 3, 5, 6, 7

blue = positive impact, red = negative impact, orange = ambiguous impact.

Source: Hugo Valin, Thomas Hertel, Benjamin Bodirsky, Tomoko Hasegawa, Elke Stehfest (2021). Achieving Zero Hunger by 2030: A Review of Quantitative Assessments of Synergies and Tradeoffs amongst the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Report for Scientific Group FSS

The Scientific Group for UNFSS – new ways of science/policy interaction

- Established credibility with the science community social science and natural science (science leaders of diverse disciplines; academies of sciences; peer review; journal publications)
- 2. Mobilized the global science (engaged in specialized and country dialogues, Science Days...)
- 3. Independent interaction with governments, private sector science, farmer organizations, Indigenous Peoples' knowledge communities
- 4. Engaged in the world regions with bottom up report development. (Africa, South Asia, East Asia, China, India, Russia, LAC, Europe,...)

Outcome? Science, innovation among top priorities of Member States

		Sustainable production 51	Support for small farmers / family farming 40	Investment / financing 36	Food loss and waste 28	Trade (strengt reform, subsi 28		self-sı	od suppl ufficiency 27	çy
COVID-19 recovery 81	Food security / zero hunger 65	Climate change resiliency, mitigation & adaptation 50	Science and technology 39	Improve / increase / modernize Ag production	Empowering women, youth, IPs 25 Poverty reduction / decent livelihoods 23	Food safety 21 Blue foods / marine resources 15	ty Conflict s / natural disasters		l 5 /	
						Engaging the private sector 13	Policy / ministeria sectoral coordinati 12 WASH /	on		
Collaboration / cooperation 81	Nutrition / diets 60	Child stunting / school feeding 43	Environment / nature / biodiversity 38	Innovation / research 30	equitability / social welfare 22	Sustainable consumption 12				

1

Outcome? Science and innovation prominenly in UN Secretary General's Statement of Action at UNFSS 2021

- "There is a recognition that we must build on good practices such as Indigenous food systems
 — invest in science and innovation, and engage all people particularly women and youth,
 Indigenous Peoples, businesses and producers in achieving the SDGs.
- There is also a need to shift and scale public and private financing for food, including for science and research. This innovation and change in financing approaches must avoid excessive hidden costs and support healthier, more inclusive, and more sustainable outcomes.
- Progress will require local and global communities of practice and stakeholders coming together with national governments under the umbrella of these action areas. In particular, support to enhance implementation through financing, data, science and innovation, governance and trade.
- Global initiatives to reinforce the ambition of science-based solutions will be key to deliver on the 2030 Agenda.
- Collaborating with the High-level Panel of Experts (HLPE) of the CFS at global level, support strengthening the science-policy capacities and interfacing at local and national levels."



- 1. How has the UN FSS advanced food systems thinking?
- 2. What were main outcomes from science communities for UN FSS?
- 3. How does the public and private R&I system need to act now?
- 4. Assessment of UNFSS

FOOD SYSTEMS SUMMIT FOLLOW-UP COORDINATION, SUPPORT AND REPORTING



Science for the Implementation of Action Agenda of UNFFS

Concept

1. Mobilize the science at **country levels** and establish structured Science & Policy interface

2. Mobilizing science at **regional and global levels** and establish structured Science & Policy interface

and connect 1. & 2. for an inclusive, networked Science-Policy Interface (with Academies, Universities, professional acad. orgs, NARS, indiginous knowledge, etc. ...) toward an IP-Food

Source: J. von Braun, 2021. Engaging Science for National and International Level Implementation of the Action Agenda of the United Nations Food Systems Summit. At <u>https://sc-fss2021.org/materials/scientific-group-reports-and-briefs/</u>

- 1. How has the UN FSS advanced food systems thinking?
- 2. What were main outcomes from science communities for UN FSS?
- 3. How does the public and private R&I system need to act now?
- 4. Preliminary assessment of UNFSS and open issues

How to assess the Food Systems Summit? Benchmarking against earlier Summits?

Summits		triggers	focus, actions			
1.	1943 World Food Congress, US	A – hunger, WW2	- FAO founded			
2.	1963 World Food Congress – <i>famine Asia; trade</i> - WFP, Freedom f Hunger Campaign					
3.	1974 World Food Conference – <i>famine Africa; prices</i> – World Food Council, IFPRI					
4.	1996 World Summit on Food S unfocused	ec. – broad declard	ation – hunger in half 2015;			
5.	2002 World Food Summit – reaffirming, reiterating - Right to food guidelines (2004)					
6.	2009 World Summit on Food Security – price crisis - eradicate hunger; CFS;					
7.	2021 UN Food Systems Summi	t - SDG2; Covid; cli	mate Food Systems, Multi-stakeholder, incl. science 20			

Assessing UNFSS 2021: Positive Outcomes

- **1.** Political and societal Engagement at scale
- 2. Food system focus
- 3. Science Engagement
- 4. Action agenda
- 5. National level implementation.

- 1. Strengthening **capacities** for national level implementation of actions in emerging economies.
- 2. Developing a strong **finance** agenda for needed investments.
- 3. Establishing improved science policy interfaces.
- 4. Facilitating **synergies with other key areas** (climate policy, Covid-19-, trade policy; peace and security; food crises)

UN DSG (Dec 29, 2021) https://sc-fss2021.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/2021-12-29_DSG_to_Joachim-von-Braun.pdf

A Lithmus Test for Food System Governance: response to 2022 food crisis



Fig.: Global maize and wheat trade - 2021/2022 forecast

Source: AMIS (2022).

- Price crisis
- Export disruptions
- Security and food security



Governance Challenges to deal with complex global food crises

- UN: Committees and Task Forces
- G7: Alliance for Food Security (with UN & G20)
- Superpower food dissonances
- LDC Gov.s: social protection; debt
- Civil society and NGOs: significant initiatives
- Private sector: engagement
- Consumers in ind. countries: inflation
- Missing: science policy interface





AP file photo Times of India

Kornher, Baumüller, von Braun. G7 Development Assistance for Food Systems to Lift 500 Million People out of Hunger by 2030 Policy Brief, March 2022. https://www.zef.de/fileadmin/user_upload/ZEF_Policy_Brief_39.pdf

Policy actions to address the global food crisis 2022

Short term:

- 1. Keep food and fertilizer markets open, avoid restrictive trade policies
- 2. Grain stock releases by EU, USA, India, China...
- 3. Short-term changes in food production reduce bioenergy and feed
- 4. Social protection and nutrition actions and support for affordable input prices (in LMICs)

Long-term:

- 1. Further investment in food systems infrastructures to increase resilience
- 2. Massive increase in R&D for agricultural productivity

Kornher and von Braun. HIGHER AND MORE VOLATILE FOOD PRICES – COMPLEX IMPLICATIONS OF THE UKRAINE WAR AND THE COVID-19-PANDEMIC. ZEF POLICY BRIEF NO 38 March 2022. <u>https://www.zef.de/fileadmin/webfiles/downloads/zef_policybrief/ZEF_Policy_Brief_38_eng.pdf</u>

- 1. How has the UN FSS advanced food systems thinking?
- 2. What were main outcomes from science communities for UN FSS?
- 3. How does the public and private R&I system need to act now?
- 4. Assessment of UNFSS