

### Fodder Update 2013

Dr. Siobhán Kavanagh Nutrition Specialist Teagasc Kildalton



## Outline

- 1. Interagency Fodder Committee
- 2. Fodder Survey Results
- 3. Dealing with a Deficit









## **1. Interagency Fodder Committee**



#### **Interagency Fodder Committee**

Established May 2013



Objective: To provide leadership and technical guidance to farmers and the industry stakeholders in dealing with the fodder shortage for winter 2013 / 2014

Members: Teagasc, DAFM, farm organisations (IFA, ICMSA & Macra), ACA, print media (IFJ, Farming Independent), banks (AIB, Ulster Bank, BOI), IGFA, Veterinary Ireland, ICOS, milk processors (Glanbia, Dairygold, Aurivo)



## Outputs

- 1. Fodder Census Day July 2013 5. Technical notes
- 2. Fodder Budgeting Worksheet
- 3. Fodder Census Day September 2013
- 4. Cash flow budgeting sheet



- 2. 5 point plan
- 3. Dealing with a drought
- 6. Content for technical text messaging
- 7. Whole cropping events x 3
- 8. Weekly advert in IFJ





## **Communications Strategy**

- National media
- Surveys
- Inserts in the Irish Farmers Journal
- Teagasc newsletters
- Training advisers
- Text messaging
- Teagasc website
- Q & A Twitter
- One-to-one discussions with farmers
- Discussion groups

- Regional meetings
- Mart meetings
- Media advertisements
- Participating organisations:
  - Newsletter
  - Milk statements
  - Workshops
  - Farm visits

Teagasc Service: Clients & Non Clients



## 2. Fodder Census Day 1<sup>st</sup> July 2013

#### **Objectives:**

 Engage with farmers to encourage them to do a fodder budget
Survey results to help the committee decide on a strategy for dealing with the problem



## **Overview of Survey**

- 1. Initiative of the Interagency Fodder Committee
- 2. All farmers to receive a fodder budgeting worksheet to complete
- 3. Survey conducted by Teagasc & industry personnel
- 4. Random selection of Teagasc clients & industry clients / customers for survey.

Target	1,000 respondents
Survey Respondents	1,051
	64% Teagasc
	36% Industry



#### **Distribution of the Respondents**





#### What Enterprises were Represented?





## **Results - National Picture**

150 days
716 tonnes
346 tonnes <u>283 tonnes</u> 629 tonnes

Deficit in silage % deficit -87 tonnes -12%



## **Farmers with a Deficit**

% of farmers with adequate silage / surplus36%% of farmers with a deficit64%

Average deficit on these farms23%Average number of tonnes deficit201 tonnes

2/3 of farmers have a deficit of less than 200 tonnes1/3 of farmers have a deficit of greater than 200 tonnes



#### Silage Harvested vs Silage to be Harvested

Farmers with no deficit	
Silage already conserved	74%
Silage to be conserved	26%

# Farmers with a deficitSilage already conserved46%Silage to be conserved / bought54%

% of farmers with no silage made 1<sup>st</sup> July 7%









### **By Enterprise**

	% deficit
South	25
East / South East / North East	24
West	23
South West	20
North West	28
Midlands	27

	% deficit
Dairy	23%
Suckler	23%
Cattle	23%
Mixed	23%







### **How Does the Deficit Compare to 2012**

Late July 2012 (n=200) 33% with a deficit Deficit of 23%

Late November (n=158) 30% with a deficit Deficit of 17%

Early July 2013 (n=1051) 64% with a deficit Deficit of 23%

- 1. Silage quality better in 2013
- 2. Bigger proportion of farms with a deficit
- 3. Less silage available for trading





## **3. Dealing with the Deficit**



## Farmer's 1<sup>st</sup> Preference for Dealing with the Deficit





## Is this Deficit Manageable?



